SALES CHANNEL PERFORMANCE REPORT

OPTIMIZING REVENUE THROUGH STRATEGIC CHANNEL MANAGEMENT

By Akpoveso Maryrose
May 2025

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary

This report explored the performance of a software company's sales efforts through its direct and partner (indirect) sales channels. The goal was to derive actionable insights to help sales managers optimize revenue generation, improve resource allocation, and refine channel strategies.

The analysis revealed that while the partner channel contributes approximately 70% of total revenue, it exhibits inefficiencies in progressing deals through the sales funnel. Direct channels, though responsible for less revenue, show relatively better funnel progression and deal maturity. Additionally, opportunity aging, segment-based underperformance, and regional imbalances point to specific areas of risk and potential growth. Recommendations are provided to address inefficiencies, boost partner enablement, and refine the channel mix.

2. <u>Introduction</u>

Business Task: To provide insights into the performance of the company's direct and partner sales channels, enabling better sales strategy formulation, revenue growth, and optimization of the sales funnel.

Key Questions Addressed:
- What is the revenue distribution between direct and indirect channels?
- Which channel is most effective in converting opportunities to revenue?
- Are there specific regions or segments where one channel excels or underperforms?
- How does customer segmentation affect channel success?
- What are the seasonal trends in revenue and opportunity creation?

3. <u>Methodology</u>

The dataset was analyzed using a combination of funnel visualizations, column charts, scatterplots, and revenue-weighted average metrics. Direct and Indirect sales channels were compared across regions, segments, opportunity stages, and timeframes. Opportunities were grouped by size, age, and weighted revenue to evaluate success likelihood and identify inefficiencies.

4. <u>Key Insights</u>

Revenue Contribution by Channel
- The partner (indirect) channel accounts for ~70% of total opportunity revenue and ~64% of weighted revenue.
- The business is highly dependent on its partner network, which introduces both scale and risk.

Sales Funnel Performance
- Only 3% of all opportunities reach the final sales stage.

- Despite having more opportunities overall, indirect channels perform worse in funnel progression.
- 64% of indirect channel revenue is stuck in the early stages, compared to 46% for direct channels. Direct sales are more efficient in progressing opportunities.

Opportunity Age Analysis
- All active opportunities are older than 1 year.
- Direct channels dominate the 1–1.25 year bracket, while indirect channels dominate longer durations, often holding stale opportunities for over 1.5 years.
- This suggests inefficiencies in the indirect channel's sales cycle, potentially delaying revenue realization.

Partner Contribution and Enablement

- Top 5 revenue-contributing partners: Sontaxon, Joblamcom, Is-technology, Quadtex, and Canezap.
- However, between 78% and 91% of their opportunity revenue remains in early or unconfirmed stages, suggesting limited deal closure progress.
- A separate analysis of partners with low average weighted revenue (<$100k) highlighted four partners (Zonronzap, Fasetone, Y-anplex, Tamhow) needing strategic enablement support.

Regional Revenue Distribution
- Indirect channels generate the most revenue from Central, least from the West.
- Direct channels perform best in the East and also weakest in the West.
- East shows stronger potential in both revenue and opportunity volume, especially for direct sales.
- Indirect channels carry most large deals across regions; direct channels dominate small opportunities.

Segment-Based Performance
- Across all segments (strategic, large, small & medium), indirect channels lead in opportunity volume.
- Gaps are small in the strategic and large segments <=13%) but widen significantly in the small & medium segment (83%).
- Despite high opportunity volume, only 18% of small & medium segment revenue is weighted as likely to close.
- These figures suggest a high number of low-quality or stagnant deals.

Temporal Trends in Revenue
- Seasonal revenue peaks are tied to opportunities created early in the year.
- The indirect channel shows steady performance over time, while direct channels are more burst-driven, possibly tied to quarterly targets or major client wins.
- A mid-to-late year slowdown in opportunity creation could lead to reduced revenue later, underlining the need for consistent pipeline building.

5. <u>Recommendations</u>

1. **Improve Funnel Efficiency**
   - Provide training and sales tools to partners to improve deal progression.
   - Focus direct efforts on moving opportunities from mid to late-stage.
2. **Partner Enablement Strategy**
   - Prioritize enablement for underperforming partners (e.g., Zonronzap, Fasetone).
   - Offer high-performing partners expedited support to accelerate deal closures.

3. **Revise Regional Strategies**
   - Target the West region for development through both direct outreach and selective partner recruitment.
   - Double down on East region opportunities with focused campaigns.

4. **Segment-Based Action Plans**
   - Re-evaluate small and medium segment opportunity qualification criteria.
   - Strengthen follow-up processes and support for this segment to increase closure rates.
5. **Sustain Pipeline Consistency**
   - Launch lead generation campaigns in mid-to-late year to counter seasonal drop-offs.
   - Consider aligning marketing campaigns with proven seasonal peaks.

6. <u>Conclusion</u>

This analysis has highlighted the company's current reliance on indirect channels and identifies critical inefficiencies that hinder full revenue realization. By improving sales funnel performance, enabling key partners, focusing on high-potential regions, and addressing segment-specific underperformance, the company can significantly enhance its revenue generation and sales effectiveness.